

Spring 2006
Dr. Heikki Lempa
HIST 220
TR: 2:20-3:30
COMEN 305

Office: 307 Comenius Hall
Email: hlempa@moravian.edu
Tel. 861-1315
Office hours: TR: 8:30-9:30
WF: 10-11
Or by Appointment
Web: <http://home.moravian.edu/public/hist/lempa>

THE HOLOCAUST

Objectives. This course discusses the persecution and mass killing of European Jews by Nazi Germany. We will explore antisemitism in historical context. We will explore the complexities of ultimate moral choices, in the context of a fundamental experience of the twentieth century, by asking why killers became killers, why victims became victims, and what the victims experienced, how they shaped their everyday life and how the gender differences influenced their experience. Finally, we will study how and why the outside world, the civilians and the foreign governments and intellectuals reacted or failed to react to the Holocaust.

Grading:

Paper	30%	Quizzes (2)	4%
Final	20%	Draft	2%
Midterm I	15%	Intro, outline, bibliography	2%
Midterm II	15%	Comments	2%
Statements	5%	Each missing statement	-2%
Group Work	5%	Each absence after the second	-1/4 of a letter grade

Research Paper. To choose a topic, see me and consult pp. 275-278 of Niewyk for further reading. If you know your topic, check with me for its appropriateness and feasibility. The length of the paper is 12-15 pages.

The paper will be based on at least six secondary sources of which **none can be an internet text** unless it is from J-STOR or Ebsco or a pdf-file of a printed text. Use footnotes in Chicago style and attach a bibliography sheet in Chicago style at the end. For more detailed instructions on the paper, see the guidelines at the end of this syllabus.

Statements. For each discussion session prepare a statement of at least 400 words discussing the various interpretations that pertain to the class topic. Your statement is a contribution to your group's effort to explain **why the Holocaust happened**. The statements have to be typed. For each missing statement you will lose 2% of your total grade. The statements will be graded on pass/fail basis.

Group Work. The class will be divided in groups of five and you can choose your own group. In each discussion session you will continue your ongoing effort to explain **why the Holocaust occurred** by building on your work from previous discussion sessions. Each group provides a folder where you keep your individual statements and group reports. After each discussion session, I collect the folders and evaluate your group work by assigning a tentative grade that can be changed until the last discussion session.

Exams. There are two quizzes, two midterm exams, and a final exam. The quiz will consist of six short identification questions on the preceding thematic sections. The midterm exams and final exam will consist of three parts. The first part tests your understanding of historical and ethical thinking. The second part will test your reading of the secondary texts and original documents by using short identification questions. The final part is an historical essay that tests your understanding of the content and your skills in creating an historical narrative in the same manner you write your paper and create your group project. All exams are cumulative. There will be no make-ups except in the case of **documented illness**.

Attendance Policy. You are allowed to be absent twice. After the second absence each individual absence will lower your overall grade by 1/4 of a letter grade unless you have a doctor's note or a written explanation from an athletics coach.

Books

- Bergen, Doris. *War and Genocide: A Concise History of the Holocaust*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield, 2003.
- Niewyk, Donald, ed. *The Holocaust. Problems and Perspectives of Interpretation*. Boston: Houghton and Mifflin, 2003.
- Browning, Christopher. *Ordinary Men: Reserve Police Battalion 101 and the Final Solution in Poland*. Chicago: Harper, 1998.
- Isaacson, Judith. *Seed of Sarah. Memoirs of a Survivor*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1991).

Handouts

- Fleming, Gerald. "It is the Führer's Wish."
- Mommsen, Hans. "There Was No Führer Order."

SCHEDULE

Jan 17	Introduction
Part I: The Ingredients	
Jan 19	Jews, Gentiles, and the Traditions of Antisemitism/ Bergen, Ch. 1
Jan 24	Hitler, Party, and Nazi Ideology/ Bergen, Ch. 2. Quiz: Antisemitism and Hitler. Division into work groups.
Jan 26	Discussion: Functionalism or Intentionalism/ Fleming, Mommsen. Why did the Holocaust happen? Who is right? Mommsen or Fleming? Write a statement of 500 words. Due: Paper topic.
Jan 31	Nazi Germany, 1933-38/ Bergen, Ch. 3. Quiz: Functionalism and Nazi Germany.
Feb 2	Increasing Aggression/ Bergen, Ch. 4
Feb 7	War against Poland/ Bergen, Ch. 5
Feb 9	War against Soviet Union/ Bergen, Ch. 6
Feb 14	Discussion: Explaining the Origins of the Holocaust/ Niewyk, Ch. 1
Feb 16	Midterm I
Part II: Killers	
Feb 21	The Wannsee Conference/ http://www.remember.org/wannsee.html
Feb 23	The Peak Years of Killing, 1942-43/ Bergen, Ch. 7. Due: Introduction, outline, and bibliography.
Feb 28	Brutality until the End, 1944-45/ Bergen, Ch. 8
March 2	Discussion: One Day in Józefów/ Browning, Chs. 1, 5, 7, 8, 13

March 14	Discussion: Explaining the Killing/ Browning, Chs. 16-18
March 16	Why do we comply?/ Stanford Prison Experiment/ Film
March 21	Midterm II
Part III: Victims, and Onlookers	
March 23	Discussion: The Holocaust Experience/ Niewyk, Ch. 3
March 28	Women's Experience/ Isaacson, pp. 1-118.
March 30	Discussion: Resistance/ Niewyk, Ch. 4
April 4	Warsaw Ghetto Uprising/ Film. Due: Drafts.
April 6	Research Workshop. Due: Comments on drafts.
April 11	Discussion: Bystanders/ Niewyk, Ch. 5
April 13	Discussion: Was Rescue Possible?/ Niewyk, Ch. 6
April 18	The Case of Schindler/ Film
April 20	Aftermath/ Bergen, Conclusion. Due: Papers.
April 25	Discussion: The Legacies of the Holocaust/ Isaacson, 119-170
April 27	To be decided
May 1-6	Final Exam

Guidelines for Paper

I. Introduction, Outline, Bibliography

Your introduction, outline, and bibliography should three pages long.

1. Introduction

Formulate the thesis that you will defend in your paper. Formulate it in such a way that you can prove it, provide evidence to support it. Mention the main schools of thought pertaining to your topic. Shortly indicate the structure of your paper. The introduction should not be longer than a page and a half.

2. Outline

An outline gives the substantive structure of your paper and it shouldn't be longer than a page. Designate all major sections of your paper. Mention the thesis. Use key words the way I use them in my lecture notes. Do not use complete sentences.

3. Bibliography

Your bibliography should include your secondary sources that should follow the *Chicago Manual of Style*. Use the format a. if it is a book, format b. if it is an article, and format c. if it is a chapter in an edited collection of articles. Be meticulous in crafting your bibliography and remember that the author(s) should always be credited. If you use primary sources, list also them accordingly.

a. A book written by an author or several authors (the title of a book is italicized):

Machiavelli, Niccolo, *The Prince*. Cambridge: Penguin, 1981.

Hunt, Lynn and Thomas R. Martin. *The Challenge of the West: Peoples and Cultures from the Stone Age to 1640*. Lexington: D.C. Heath Company, 1995.

b. An article in a journal, written by an author or several authors:

Stearns, Peter N. and Carol Z. Stearns. "Emotionology: Clarifying the History of Emotions and Emotional Standards." *American Historical Review* 90 (1985): 815-20.

c. A chapter in an edited book, written by an author or several authors:

Pearson, Karl. "National Life from the Standpoint of Science." In *Sources of the Western Tradition*. Vol 2., *From the Renaissance to the Present*. Edited by Marvin Perry, Joseph Peden, and Theodor von Laue. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1999, 230-32.

II. Draft

A draft should be at least ten (10) pages long and include all the parts of the final version of your paper. It should include footnotes (source references) to all used sources. Papers that do not include footnotes will not be commented—neither by the commentator nor me. I will comment on your drafts and assign a tentative grade after the commentators have done their work and after the Writing Workshop.

III. Comments on Draft

1. Write a comment on the paper you will discuss in public discussion.
2. The length of the comment is one (1) page.
3. Pay attention to:
 - a. Clarity of the argument and/or question
 - i. Is the introduction good?
 - ii. Are the conclusions appropriate?
 - b. Use and analysis of sources
 - i. Is the analysis accurate?
 - ii. Is it compelling? Does it support the overall argument
 - iii. Is it sensitive to the text?
 - c. Style
 - i. Grammar
 - ii. Spelling
 - iii. Structure
 - iv. Use of language
4. Give short but specific advice how to improve

IV. Final Version

Submit a folder including all the previous stages and versions of your work and the comments you received with the final version of your paper. The final version should fulfill all the formal requirements of an historical research paper as instructed in this syllabus. Use Chicago style including footnotes.

V. General Guidelines for Paper

The length of your paper is 12 to 15 pages. A good paper has a structure as following:

The **beginning** of your paper is an important part of your study. First, you mention the argument that you will explore in your paper. Second, you introduce the most important schools of thought that pertain to your topic. Detailed discussion of these interpretations takes place only in the body. Finally, in two or three lines, you mention how you will proceed in your paper.

The **middle** is the bulk of your paper. Discuss systematically, in compact paragraphs, each of the main themes that you find essential for your argument. Contrast your own interpretation with other interpretations (those you already mentioned in the introduction). Be critical in reading the other interpretations and try to **disagree** with them as much as possible. Move on to support your disagreement and your own point by providing evidence that shows how your interpretation is better than the others. It does not matter if you cannot provide exhaustive evidence for your argument. But it matters that you disagree and develop your own argument. Finally, move to another point that you have chosen and discuss it accordingly.

The **conclusion** is an important section of your paper. You pull all the threads of your research together and tell your audience what are your findings. You can also make specific suggestions for further

research.

4. Footnotes (Source References)

To guarantee that authors' rights are honored and that other scholars can control the originality, reliability, and truthfulness of your evidence historians use footnotes to refer to their sources. The first reference to any source gives the full bibliographic information of the source.¹ Any subsequent reference to the same source uses a shortened form like this.² See the examples at the bottom of this page.³ A footnote can also include a short commentary on the text it refers to.⁴ It is placed right after the sentence, “on the right side of a period and parenthesis as in this sentence.”⁵ Most often the footnote is at the end of a paragraph.

¹Lynn Hunt and Thomas R. Martin. *The Challenge of the West: Peoples and Cultures from the Stone Age to 1640*. Lexington: D.C. Heath Company, 1995, 471.

²Pearson. “National Life,” 230.

³Machiavelli. *The Prince*, 120.

⁴For a different interpretation, see Stearns and Stearns. “Emotionology,” 810.

⁵Hunt. *Challenge*, 474.